

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

February 20, 2002

Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. Cuddy & Feder & Worby LLP 90 Maple Avenue White Plains, NY 10601-5196

RE:

EM-AT&T-097-107-117-130-148-156-161-164-020124 - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located in Newtown, West Haven, Orange, Redding, Windsor, Wilton, Southbury, and Wallingford, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Fisher:

At a public meeting held on February 14, 2002, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify these existing telecommunications facilities, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated January 23, 2002. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to existing facility sites that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of tower sites, increase noise levels at any tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power densities measured at the tower site boundaries to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. These facilities have also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on these towers.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Any additional change to these facilities will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Mortimer A. Gelston

Chairman

MAG/RM/laf

c: See attached list.

1:\siting\em\at&t\12sites\020124\dc021402.doc

Decision Letter for EM-AT&T-097-107-117-130-148-156-161-164-020124 Page 2

Honorable Paul F. Hannah, Jr., First Selectman, Town of Wilton Wendy Johnston, Town Planner, Town of Wilton Honorable Mary Hogan, Mayor, Town of Windsor Mario Zavarella, Town Planner, Town of Windsor R. Leon Churchill, Jr., Town Manager, Town of Windsor Honorable Natalie T. Ketcham, First Selectman, Town of Redding Aimee Pardee, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Redding Honorable Mitchell R. Goldblatt, First Selectman, Town of Orange Paul Dinice, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Orange Honorable William W. Dickinson, Jr., Mayor, Town of Wallingford Linda Bush, Town Planner, Town of Wallingford Honorable Mark A.R. Cooper, First Selectman, Town of Southbury Mark D. Cody, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Southbury Honorable H. Richard Borer, Jr., Mayor, City of West Haven James Hill, City Planner, City of West Haven Honorable Herbert C. Rosenthal, First Selectman, Town of Newtown Gary Frenette, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Newtown

## CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP

#### 90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

CUDDY & FEDER 1971-1995

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT) CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ) THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC) THOMAS M. BLOOMER JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI KENNETH J. DUBROFF ROBERT FEDER CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT) ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT) SUSAN E.H. GORDON KAREN G. GRANIK JOSHUA J. GRAUER WAYNE E. HELLER (also CT) KENNETH F. JURIST MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ) JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT) DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT) BARRY E. LONG

(914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cfwlaw.com

> 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN

WILLIAM S. NULL

DAWN M. PORTNEY

ELISABETH N. RADOW

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX)
MARYANN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER
LOUIS R. TAFFERA

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

January 23, 2002

Re: AT&T Wireless Notice of Exempt Modification

5 Fairfield Drive and 20 Barnabas Road, Newtown, Connecticut

1 Burwell Road, West Haven, Connecticut 1800 Ogg Meadow Road, Orange, Connecticut 100 Old Redding Road, Redding, Connecticut 440 Hayden Station Road, Windsor, Connecticut

128 Mather Street, Wilton, Connecticut

1432 Old Waterbury Road and Russian Village Road, Southbury, Connecticut

Northrup Road, Wallingford and 945 East Center Road, Wallingford

and 316 Woodhouse Avenue, Wallingford, Connecticut

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, we respectfully enclose an original and twenty copies of its notice of exempt modification with respect to the above mentioned facilities together with a check in the amount of \$500.00. We would appreciate it if these matters were placed on the next available agenda for acknowledgment by the Council. Should the Council or staff have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

Linda Grant

cc: Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.



## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@po.state.ct.us
Web Site: www.state.ct.us/csc/index.htm

February 5, 2002

Honorable Herbert C. Rosenthal First Selectman Town of Newtown Town Hall 45 Main Street Newtown, CT 06470

RE:

EM-AT&T-097-107-117-130-148-156-161-164-020124 - AT&T Wireless notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at twelve sites throughout the State of Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Rosenthal:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for February 14, 2002, at 1:30 p.m. in Hearing Room One, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

Please call me or inform the Council if you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Executive Director

SDP/laf

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Gary Frenette, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Newtown

# CUDDY & FEDER & WORBY LLP

#### 90 MAPLE AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-5196

(914) 761-1300 TELECOPIER (914) 761-5372/6405 www.cfwlaw.com

> 500 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10110 (212) 944-2841 TELECOPIER (212) 944-2843

WESTAGE BUSINESS CENTER 300 SOUTH LAKE DRIVE FISHKILL, NEW YORK 12524 (845) 896-2229 TELECOPIER (845) 896-3672

STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT NORWALK, CONNECTICUT CUDDY & FEDER 1971-1995

WILLIAM S. NULL
DAWN M. PORTNEY
ELISABETH N. RADOW
NEIL T. RIMSKY
RUTH E. ROTH
JENNIFER L. VAN TUYL
CHAUNCEY L. WALKER (also CA)
ROBERT L. WOLFE
DAVID E. WORBY

Of Counsel
MICHAEL R. EDELMAN
ANDREW A. GLICKSON (also CT)
ROBERT L. OSAR (also TX)
MARYANN M. PALERMO
ROBERT C. SCHNEIDER
LOUIS R. TAFFERA

January 23, 2002

### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

NEIL J. ALEXANDER (also CT)

THOMAS R. BEIRNE (also DC)

THOMAS M. BLOOMER

JOSEPH P. CARLUCCI

KENNETH J. DUBROFF

ROBERT FEDER

Karen G. Granik Joshua J. Grauer Wayne E. Heller (also CT)

KENNETH F. JURIST

BARRY E. LONG

CHARLES T. BAZYDLO (also NJ)

CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER (also CT)

ANTHONY B. GIOFFRE III (also CT) SUSAN E.H. GORDON

MICHAEL L. KATZ (also NJ)
JOSHUA E. KIMERLING (also CT)

DANIEL F. LEARY (also CT)

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Re: AT&T Wireless - EM-SCLP-097-000807 5 Fairfield Drive, Newtown, Connecticut

Notice of Further Exempt Modification

JAN 2 4 2002

CONNECTIONS
SITING COUNCIL

Hon. Mortimer Gelston, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council:

Springwich Cellular Limited Partnership holds the Siting Council certificate for the existing communications tower and related facility located at 5 Fairfield Drive, Newtown, Connecticut (Docket No. 75). On August 31, 2000 Springwich Cellular Limited Partnership, on behalf of AT&T Wireless ("AT&T"), received the Council's acknowledgement of a notice to modify the existing facility pursuant to Section 16-50j-72 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (EM- SCLP-097-000807) permitting AT&T to install panel antennas at the 130' level on the existing tower, with an associated equipment shelter located within the fenced compound.

This notice of further exempt modification is also being provided pursuant to Section 16-50j-72 of the Council's regulations. AT&T will be installing additional equipment within the existing shelter at the facility. There will be no other infrastructure changes to AT&T's facility.

The proposed addition of equipment to AT&T Wireless' facility does not constitute a "modification" of an existing facility as defined in Connecticut General Statutes Section 16-50i(d). The proposed addition to AT&T Wireless' facility will not result in an increase in the

Wireless Facilities, Inc. 1840 Michael Faraday Drive Suite 200 Reston, VA 20190

January 2, 2002

Mr. Mortimer A. Gelston, Chairman Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

**RE: FCC Compliance Statement for AT&T Site CT-177 (Newtown West SNET)** 

Dear Mr. Gelston:

On behalf of AT&T Wireless, Wireless Facilities Inc. has performed in-field RF measurements and office analyses for the above referenced site to determine compliance with FCC mandated Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits as defined in 47 CFR § 1.1310.

The table below gives a brief summary of the site location, its configuration and associated technical parameters.

#### Summary of the site configuration and technical parameters:

Site ID	CT-177	
Site Name	Newtown West-SNET	
Latitude	41.42555	
Longitude	-73.37444	
Address of structure	5 Fairfield Drive	
	Newtown, CT 06470	
Type of structure	Monopole	
Antenna structure owner	AT&T	
Address of antenna owner	15 East Midland AVE	
4	Paramus, NJ 07652	
FCC class and Type of service	PCS TDMA (IS-136), PCS GSM	
Operating frequency	D, E bands (PCS)	
Azimuths	0,110,240	
Elevation (ft)	130	
Antenna manufacturer	Allgon	
Antenna type	Panel	

The mathematical equations used in evaluating the power density values are exactly as outlined in the Office of Engineering & Technology (OET) Bulletin Number 65 which contains the FCC guidelines for evaluating human exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields.

In the case of a single radiating antenna, a prediction for power density in the far field of the antenna can be written as:

$$S = \frac{EIRP}{4\pi D^2} = \frac{1.64 * ERP}{4\pi D^2}$$

Where:

 $S = Power density in W/m^2$ 

EIRP = Effective isotropic radiated power (W)

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

Using the EPA's recommended factor of 1.6 for 100 % reflection, the worst case power density can be obtained by incorporating this factor into the above equation. If the distance, D, is in meters, the ERP is in Watts, then the worst case power density in  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> is given by

$$S = \frac{33.4 * ERP}{D^2}$$
 (Section 2, OET bulletin 65).

Where:

 $S = Power density in \mu W/cm^2$ 

ERP = Effective radiated power (W)

D = Distance in meters

WFI's analysis considered both the current configuration as well as the future GSM deployment AT&T is proposing. For the current configuration, both in-field measurements and a predictive analysis tool were used to determine compliance. For the future deployment, only a predictive analysis was performed. The maximum worst-case values of the power density for this analysis are outlined below:

Configuration	Point of Worst Case Predicted Level	Predicted Value μW/cm²	Maximum Limit for PCS Band Uncontrolled Environment Set by FCC μW/cm²	% of the Standard
Current PCS TDMA configuration	290 feet away in front of the antenna	0.82	1000	0.08
Future PCS TDMA and GSM configuration	290 feet away in front of the antenna	1.10	1000	0.11

In addition to predictive analysis, on-site data was recorded at different locations around the monopole. In all areas, less than or equal to 1.65 % of the MPE for public/uncontrolled limits was recorded. The reason the actual measurements are higher than the predicted values is because the actual measurements include emissions from the other carriers at that site while the theoretical study focused on the level of emissions contributed by AT&T only.

On-site measuring point	Worst Case Measured Value μW/cm²	Maximum Limit for PCS Band Uncontrolled Environment Set by FCC μW/cm²	% of the Standard
5 meters in front of sector 1	1.87	1000	0.187
30 meters in front of sector 2	16.5	1000	1.65
40 meters in front of sector 3	4.65	1000	0.465

The results of these analyses indicate that output power levels for the AT&T owned equipment deployed at the above referenced facility meets FCC approved exposure limits for all uncontrolled areas where general population exposure may exist. Thus, the maximum level of RF radiation in all uncontrolled areas (Assuming a worst case scenario and a 100 % duty cycle for all the transmitters.) is less than or equal to 1.65 % of the maximum permissible exposure limit mandated by the FCC and endorsed by the NCRP and ANSI/IEEE.

To the best of my knowledge, the statements made and information disclosed in this study are complete and accurate.

Sincerely,

Wireless Facilities, Inc.

Dan Hardiman

Senior Engineer II

Fixed Network Engineering